SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

31 DAYS 04/03/2007 PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|--|---|--|
| Office Action Summary  | 10/695,430  | SCHEINER ET AL.  |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|  | Robert L. Nasser  | 3735   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   |   | orrespondence address  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status   |   |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | ·   |  |
|  | action is non-final.  | •  |
|  | •   | essecution as to the marite is   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |
| <u></u>  |   | •  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or e   | election requirement.   |  |
| Application Papers   | · .   |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |
| · _  | nriority under 25 H S C \$ 110(a)   | (d) or (f)   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>  |   |  |
| 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |
|  |   | ,  |
|  |   | •  |
| Attachment(s)  |   | ·.   |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal P  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:   |  |
| ·  |   |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/695,430

Art Unit: 3735

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-10 and 20-29, drawn to a method and apparatus for a
 determining a relationship between activity and autonomic tone, classified
 in class 600, subclass 500.

II. Claims 11-19, drawn to a system for wireless transmitting tone and activity data, classified in class 128, subclass 903.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because information processing unit does not determine a relationship between the activity and tone. The subcombination has separate utility such as in the method of figure 4.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such

Application/Control Number: 10/695,430

rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Art Unit: 3735

claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/695,430

Art Unit: 3735

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is 571 272-4731. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571 272-4730. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert L. Nasser Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735

RLN March 30, 2007 Ret & Mess

RESEARCH TOTAL